

Noferi, Mark (EOIR)

From: Mark Noferi (b) (6)
Sent: Sunday, September 24, 2017 3:48 PM
To: Noferi, Mark (EOIR)
Subject: Fwd: Celebrating our two recent law review article publications :)
Attachments: IMG_2562.JPG; Georgetown FINAL 2017.pdf

Nice! These are insanely complicated topics (I know you're well aware.) :) Congrats.
Mark

----- Forwarded message -----

From: (b) (6)
Date: Sun, Sep 24, 2017 at 3:26 PM
Subject: Celebrating our two recent law review article publications :)
To: (b) (6)
CC: (b) (6)

Dear Friends:

My writing partner (b) (6) and I are eager to share with you our two recently published law review articles focusing on immigrant access to public benefits. This important topic is near and dear to our hearts (in fact, it's how we first connected three years ago) and we wrote these articles in hopes of advocating not only for policy changes, but to encourage our fellow lawyers and professors to make informing immigrant clients about their eligibility for public benefits part of the client counseling process.

(b) (6) excellent charts, detailing for which benefits an immigrant would be eligible at which stage in the immigration process are attached as appendices to each article.

Our first article (attached), in the Georgetown Immigration Law Journal, focuses on immigrant youth, and compares SIJS, asylum, U and T non-immigrant status and the corresponding benefits for which a young person might be eligible.

Our second article, in the [Drexel Law Review](#), explores benefits eligibility for survivors of domestic violence.

Thank you to you- our friends and family- for your support over the years it took to for these articles to become a reality.

We are most grateful.

All our best,

(b) (6)

PS. Here's us giving a Know Your Rights Presentation at the Museum of Chinese in the Americas (MOCA) in NYC last spring :)

--

Mark Noferi
cell: (b) (6)

Attachment is publically available at:

<https://www.law.georgetown.edu/immigration-law-journal/>

Noferi, Mark (EOIR)

From: Mark Noferi (b) (6)
Sent: Wednesday, August 8, 2018 8:03 AM
To: Noferi, Mark (EOIR)
Subject: Fwd: [immprof] new suit filed challenge APA violations in changed expedited removal procedures for asylum seekers
Attachments: complaint_-_grace_v_sessions_8_7_18.pdf

----- Forwarded message -----


From: (b) (6)
Date: Tue, Aug 7, 2018 at 6:26 PM
Subject: [immprof] new suit filed challenge APA violations in changed expedited removal procedures for asylum seekers
To: Immprof (immprof@lists.ucla.edu) <immprof@lists.ucla.edu>, adminlaw@chicagokent.kentlaw.edu <adminlaw@chicagokent.kentlaw.edu>

The ACLU and CRGS have filed a complaint alleging violations of the APA in the implementation of *Matter of A-B* complaint seeks declaratory judgment and injunction.

Should be of interest to both administrative law and immigration scholars.

Thank you to those of you involved.

(b) (6)



(b) (6)



--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "ImmProf" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to
immprof+unsubscribe@lists.ucla.edu.

--
Mark Noferi
Cell: (b) (6)



Attachment is publically available at:

<https://www.aclu.org/cases/grace-v-sessions>

Noferi, Mark (EOIR)

From: Mark Noferi (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, August 31, 2017 11:37 AM
To: Noferi, Mark (EOIR)
Subject: Fwd: [immprof] sb4 ruling
Attachments: order on prelim inj.pdf

----- Forwarded message -----

From: (b) (6)
Date: Wed, Aug 30, 2017 at 8:35 PM
Subject: [immprof] sb4 ruling
To: immprof@lists.ucla.edu <immprof@lists.ucla.edu>


This is the opinion/order enjoining enforcement of key provisions of the so-called Texas anti-sanctuary law.

Best,

(b) (6)

=====

(b) (6)



--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "ImmProf" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to
immprof+unsubscribe@lists.ucla.edu.

--
Mark Noferi
cell: (b) (6)

Attachment is publically available at:

<https://www.aclu.org/cases/city-el-cenizo-et-al-v-state-texas-et-al>

Noferi, Mark (EOIR)

From: Mark Noferi (b) (6)
Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 8:08 PM
To: Noferi, Mark (EOIR)
Subject: Fwd: [immprof] RE: 2018 Developments in Agency Adjudication
Attachments: cyk DRAFT Aug. 21, 2008.pdf

Mark Noferi
cell: 650.380.1387


----- Forwarded message -----

From: (b) (6)
Date: Tue, Aug 21, 2018 at 3:01 PM
Subject: [immprof] RE: 2018 Developments in Agency Adjudication
To: (b) (6) [<immprof@lists.ucla.edu>](mailto:immprof@lists.ucla.edu)

Hi (b) (6)

I have a piece forthcoming in the Emory Law Journal this fall examining the administration's interventions in immigration removal proceedings. Pages 25-35 discuss the AG's review of the BIA's decisions in Matter of Castro Tum (discretionary relief in form of administration closure); Matter of A-B- (substantive interpretation of asylum statute); Matter of E-F-H-L- (asylum procedures); and Matter of L-A-B-R- (motions to grant continuances). It's attached here and also posted on [SSRN](#). I hope it's helpful.

(b) (6)



[SSRN page](#)

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 11:56 AM

To: immprof@lists.ucla.edu

Subject: [immprof] 2018 Developments in Agency Adjudication

Immigration experts –


I just sent this email out to the administrative law professor listserv, and I'm forwarding it to you all, as last year we got our best (and most interesting) leads from you all for agency adjudication developments outside of the Supreme Court and the D.C. Circuit. If any immigration adjudication cases or other developments come to mind, I'd so appreciate hearing about them so that I can make sure (b) (6) and I include them in our developments chapter for this year.

Thanks!

(b) (6)



(b) (6)

A large rectangular area of the page is completely blacked out, indicating a redaction. The text '(b) (6)' appears in the top-left corner of this redacted area.

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, August 21, 2018 11:53 AM

To: Admin (adminlaw@chicagokent.kentlaw.edu) <adminlaw@chicagokent.kentlaw.edu>

Cc: (b) (6)

Subject: 2018 Developments in Agency Adjudication


It's that time of year again. Matt Wiener and I are compiling cases and other developments in agency adjudication for this year's annual developments book put together by the ABA AdLaw Section, which we'll also present at the annual ABA AdLaw Conference in DC 11/1-11/2 (looks like registration just opened for that conference – my favorite recurring adlaw conference each year:

<https://shop.americanbar.org/ebus/ABAEventsCalendar/EventDetails.aspx?productId=337506545>).


(b) (6) and I have the Supreme Court and DC Circuit covered quite well, but if any adjudication cases and/or developments from 2018 come to mind outside of SCOTUS/DC Circuit, we'd so appreciate hearing about them.

Thanks!

(b) (6)



(b) (6)

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the majority of the page below the university logo.

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "ImmProf" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to
immprof+unsubscribe@lists.ucla.edu.

--
You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "ImmProf" group.
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to
immprof+unsubscribe@lists.ucla.edu.

Attachment is publically available at:

<http://law.emory.edu/elj/>

Noferi, Mark (EOIR)

From: Mark Noferi (b) (6)
Sent: Monday, June 25, 2018 7:20 AM
To: Noferi, Mark (EOIR)
Subject: Fwd: Family Detention In Absentia Orders?

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mark Noferi (b) (6)
Date: Mon, Jun 25, 2018 at 7:19 AM
Subject: Re: Family Detention In Absentia Orders?
To: (b) (6)

Peter, great to hear from you. I'll look into this and get back to you with a couple thoughts and contacts. Hope you're well in RI.

Mark

On Mon, Jun 25, 2018 at 12:03 AM (b) (6) wrote:
Mark: I hope you're enjoying the summer. You may have seen Ingrid Eagly's new Calif. LR article, which asserts (see pp. 847-48 attached) that from 2001-16, 96% of folks at one point detained at family detention centers appeared for subsequent proceedings. Leaving aside the normative question of whether and under what circumstances families should be detained, Professor Eagly's numbers for subsequent court appearances seem high to me, especially given the generally high EOIR rates for *in absentia* orders (often at least 35-40%, per EOIR's Statistical Year Book). In other words, the family detention respondents have far higher appearance rates than the norm. If that's true, we should acknowledge it; if it's not, we should be recognize that, too. I believe we ought to make good policy choices; those choices need to be informed by sound data. If EOIR has any publicly available statistics on family detention that provide different results re *in absentia* orders than those derived by Professor Eagly, it would be very helpful if you could provide a source for those results. Any other insight you can provide would be equally helpful. Thanks very much, as always!

--
Mark Noferi
Cell: (b) (6)

--
Mark Noferi
Cell: (b) (6)

Noferi, Mark (EOIR)

From: Mark Noferi (b) (6)
Sent: Sunday, July 1, 2018 9:12 PM
To: Noferi, Mark (EOIR)
Subject: Fwd: Family Detention In Absentia Orders?
Attachments: Eagly et al. Det. Fam..pdf

Mark Noferi
cell: (b) (6)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: (b) (6)
Date: Mon, Jun 25, 2018 at 12:03 AM
Subject: Family Detention In Absentia Orders?
To: Mark Noferi (b) (6)

Mark: I hope you're enjoying the summer. You may have seen Ingrid Eagly's new Calif. LR article, which asserts (see pp. 847-48 attached) that from 2001-16, 96% of folks at one point detained at family detention centers appeared for subsequent proceedings. Leaving aside the normative question of whether and under what circumstances families should be detained, Professor Eagly's numbers for subsequent court appearances seem high to me, especially given the generally high EOIR rates for *in absentia* orders (often at least 35-40%, per EOIR's Statistical Year Book). In other words, the family detention respondents have far higher appearance rates than the norm. If that's true, we should acknowledge it; if it's not, we should recognize that, too. I believe we ought to make good policy choices; those choices need to be informed by sound data. If EOIR has any publicly available statistics on family detention that provide different results re *in absentia* orders than those derived by Professor Eagly, it would be very helpful if you could provide a source for those results. Any other insight you can provide would be equally helpful. Thanks very much, as always!

Attachment is publically available at:

<http://www.californialawreview.org/>

Noferi, Mark (EOIR)

From: Mark Noferi (b) (6)
Sent: Saturday, April 28, 2018 6:36 AM
To: Noferi, Mark (EOIR)
Subject: Fwd: Thank You
Attachments: Statutory Interpretation 2018.pdf; Administrative Record Materials.pdf; Judicial Review 2018.pdf; The Rulemaking Process.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Mark Noferi
cell: (b) (6)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: service@americanbar.org <service@americanbar.org>
Date: Fri, Apr 27, 2018 at 11:07 PM
Subject: Thank You
To: "marknoferi@gmail.com" <marknoferi@gmail.com>



[Order History](#) | [Your Account](#)

Dear Mark Noferi,

Thank you for attending: **2018 Spring Conference**

Date: 4/27/2018

Location:

In-Person

Meeting Information

Thank you for attending the *2018 Spring Conference, 14th Annual Administrative Law and Regulatory Practice Institute* on April 27, 2018 at the Park Hyatt Washington, DC.

Please visit the 2018 Spring Conference website for CLE Materials

<https://shop.americanbar.org/ebus/ABAEventsCalendar/EventDetails.aspx?productId=312054752>

If you have any questions about your attendance, please contact rebecca.mobley@americanbar.org.

Thanks again, and we hope to see you in November for the 2018 Administrative Law Conference in Washington, DC!

For additional information about this meeting, or to make any changes, please visit our [meeting](#) site. If you have any questions regarding your order, visit our website at www.americanbar.org or contact us at www.americanbar.org/contactus for questions or comments. For immediate assistance, please call the ABA Service Center at 800-285-2221, Monday-Friday between 9:00 AM and 6:00 PM ET.

Regards,
ABA Service Center

Attachment is publically available at:

https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/events/administrative_law/2018meetings/04/Statutory%20Interpretation%202018.pdf

https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/events/administrative_law/2018meetings/04/Administrative%20Record%20Materials.pdf

https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/events/administrative_law/2018meetings/04/Judicial%20Review%202018.pdf

https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/events/administrative_law/2017/May%20Meetings/1_The_Rulemaking_Process.pdf